Organic Synthesis Using Bridgehead Carbocations and Bridgehead Enones

GEORGE A. KRAUS,' YUNGSON HON, P. **J.** THOMAS, STEVE LARAMAY, SPIROS LIRAS. and **JEFF** HANSON

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

Received *February 8. 1989* (Revised Manuscript Received *July 18. 1989)*

Contents

I. Introduction

The development of new pathways by which organic molecules can be stereoselectively constructed remains an important direction for organic chemistry. The palladium-mediated cyclizations of Trost,¹ Stille,² Hegedus,³ Larock,⁴ Negishi,⁵ and Overman⁶ and the radical cyclization reactions of Keck,⁷ Stork,⁸ Hart,⁹ Curran,¹⁰ Barton,¹¹ and Beckwith¹² represent important new strategies. A comparatively unstudied strategy is the formation of bicyclic or tricyclic systems via reactive bridgehead intermediates.

There has long been active interest in bridgehead intermediates in the physical organic community. Classic studies by $Vogel$,¹³ Schleyer,¹⁴ and Warner¹⁵ served to elucidate structural information about different bridgehead carbocations. Much of this data is collected in an excellent review by Fort.¹⁶ Important investigations by Wiseman,¹⁷ Dauben,¹⁸ and Marshall¹⁹ provided valuable information on the preparation and stability of bridgehead alkenes. Recently, a comprehensive review by Warner has appeared. 20 In contrast, the synthetic applications of bridgehead intermediates have been marked by only a few concerted studies.

In this article the contributions of earlier investigators are summarized first, followed by our preliminary studies and then by our applications of bridgehead methodology to natural product synthesis.

applications. They prepared both bicyclo[3.3.l]no- water, methanol, oxygen, and butadiene. The latter

Professor George Kraus received his Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Rochester in 1972 and his Ph.D. degree in from the University of Rochester in 1972 and his Ph.D. degree in
1976 from Columbia University under the direction of Professor Gilbert Stork. **He** then moved to Iowa State University as an Assistant Professor of Chemistry in 1976. He is presently Professor of Chemistry. Professor Kraus **has** been the recipient of an Alfred Faculty Award, a Frasch Award, and the John Wilkenson Award for outstanding teaching.

Yung-Son Hon received his Ph.D. in 1984 from Iowa State University and is now a researcher at the Institute of Technology, Academia Sinica. Taipei, Taiwan.

P. **J.** Thomas received his **Ph.0.** from Brown University. After a postdoctoral position at Iowa State University. he accepted a position at Dow Chemical Co. in Midland. **MI.**

The Bridgehead Group

Steve Laramay (left) received his B.A. from the State University of New York at Potsdam in 1985. He will receive his Ph.D. from Iowa State University in 1990. Spiros Liras (middle) received his **B.S. from St. Ambrose University in 1985. He will receive his Ph.D.** from Iowa State University in 1989. Jeff Hanson (right) received his B.A. from DePau in 1986. He is currently a graduate student at Iowa State University.

11. Previous Synthetic Contributions nen-3-ones and **bicyclo[3.2.1]octen-3-ones,** usually by base-promoted halide eliminations. While the unsub-House and co-workers contributed a classic study of stituted **bicyclo[3.3.l]nonen-3-one** dimerized readily at substituent effects on bridgehead enone stability.²¹ subambient temperatures, the α -phenyl enone was Their results laid the ground work for most of the later much more stable; however, it reacted readily with reaction produced three products via a diradical intermediate.

In the **bicyclo[3.2.l]octen-3-one** series, the enone was produced by elimination of a β -keto tosylate. House was able to trap this reactive enone with both methanol and furan. A more highly functionalized bridgehead enone was prepared by the intramolecular cyclization of a ketophosphonate. This work **also** provided a tetracyclic intermediate for the synthesis of the gibberellins.

Magnus utilized a bridgehead enone in his creative synthesis of the kopsane alkaloids.²² The enone was generated by a sulfoxide elimination. **Magnus** trapped the reactive bridgehead enone with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first use of a bridgehead enone in natural product synthesis.

Shea and co-workers prepared bridgehead alkenes by an innovative intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction.23 These reactions were accelerated by a suitably electron-withdrawing group and were highly regio- and stereoselective. The product derived from an exo transition state was obtained. The reactions could be conducted either as gas-phase pyrolyses or **as** solutionphase pyrolyses. Temperatures between 200 and 400 **"C** were required for the thermally induced reactions when the dienophile contained an electron-withdrawing group. Shea also generated bridgehead alkenes via Lewis acid mediated cycloadditions at ambient temperature. Some of the bridgehead alkenes produced by the Lewis acid catalyzed reaction represent attractive intermediates for the synthesis of taxanes. Shea recently synthesized enol lactones wherein the double **SCHEME I**

bond of the enol lactone was a bridgehead alkene in an oxabicyclo[4.3. lldecene system.

Bestmann used **an** intramolecular Wittig reaction to produce bridgehead enones. 24 This strategy was successful for certain **bicyclo[3.3.l]nonenones,** bicyclo- [3.2.1] octenones, and bicyclo^[4.3.1] decenones. However, all attempts to generate a bicyclo^[2.2.2] octenone by this strategy failed, undoubtedly due to the strain inherent in this system.

I II. Bridgehead Carbocations

A. Prellmlnary Studies on Brldgehead Carbocatlons

Our initial interest in bridgehead reactivity was prompted by a retrosynthetic analysis of aphidicolin, a novel anticancer and antiviral agent, wherein disconnection via bond A led to an extremely direct and interesting pathway (Scheme I). Interestingly, dissection at a bridgehead carbon atom in a variety of molecules led to novel pathways. In order to actually construct molecules via a bridgehead pathway, many fundamental questions remained to be answered. We then embarked on a study of bridgehead reactivity from a synthetic perspective.

Before any synthetic studies are discussed, it is worthwhile to compare bridgehead intermediates with their nonbridgehead counterparts. Carbocations are important intermediates for synthesis; however, the use of carbocation-based methodologies is often complicated by undesired rearrangements and by mixtures of stereoisomers produced from the planar carbocation. Bridgehead carbocations offer attractive advantages. In bicyclic systems such **as** bicyclo[2.2.2]octanes and bicyclo[3.3.l]nonanes, the bridgehead carbocation does not suffer hydride shifts. Indeed, there are some examples in which Friedel-Crafts reactions have been conducted on bridgehead halides. Additionally, the large energy difference between the bridgehead carbocation and the analogous acyclic carbocation provides a strong driving force for bond formation. Moreover, there is no stereochemical ambiguity with regard to the newly created quaternary center, since attack from only one face is enforced by the structure of the bicyclic system. Bridgehead enones also enjoy these three advantages over simple cyclic and acyclic enones. Bridgehead enones are readily prepared by the dehydrohalogenation of the β -halo ketones. By way of comparison, uncatalyzed Diels-Alder reactions with cyclohexenone are typically conducted around 160 **"C,** while the bridgehead enone derived from l-bromo**bicyclo[3.3.l]nonan-3-one** reacts with dienes at 0 **"C** (Scheme 11).

The first system that we examined²⁵ was the readily available **l-bromobicyclo[2.2.2]octene 1.** It was generated from commercially available l-methoxybicyclo-

TABLE I. Reaction of Bromides 3 and 12 with Various NucleoDhiles

bromide	nucleophile	% yield
3	benzene	90
3	$1,3$ - (OMe) ₂ C_eH_a	74
3	$PhC(OTMS) = CH2$	65
3	$CH2=CHCH2SiMe3$	85
12	CH_2 – CHCH ₂ SiMe ₃	90
12	$PhC(OTMS) = CH2$	75
12	$CH2=CHCH2NH2$	99
12	1.4 -(OMe) ₂ C _e H ₄	99
12	1-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclohex-1-ene	75

SCHEME IV

[2.2.2]octenecarbonitrile 2 by reductive decyanation followed by treatment with BBr_3 (Scheme III). Surprisingly, this bridgehead bromide did not react with silver trifluoroacetate or silver triflate at 0 °C. It did not react with silver acetate in boiling acetic acid! It is possible that silver ion complexation with the olefin in **1** destabilized cation formation. However, recent theoretical calculations indicate that even an uncomplexed olefin can, in the proper orientation, destabilize carbocation formation by approximately 20 kcal/mol. 26 Fortunately, the saturated analogue **3** reacted rapidly with silver trifluoroacetate in methylene chloride at 0 **"C.** The carbocation derived from **3** reacted with a variety **of** representative nucleophiles. The results are depicted in Table I.

Intramolecular cyclizations were **also** examined. The starting materials were prepared from **2** by straightforward synthetic reactions. The allyl-substituted compound **4** reacted with silver tetrafluoroborate in the presence of trapping agents such **as** allyltrimethylsilane, benzene, and the enol silyl ether of cyclohexanone. The sequence of carbocation generation, intramolecular cyclization, and intermolecular trapping proceeded in overall yields of 70433%. The trapping agent could **also** be part of the alkene, as evidenced by the reactions employing **6** and **7.** Unsaturated ester **8** did not afford an adduct, presumably because the alkene was not sufficiently nucleophilic to react with the carbocation.

The reactions of **l-bromobicyclo[3.3.l]nonan-3-one** were next investigated. The keto alcohol was a **known** compound that had been prepared by a Michael/aldol protocol using cyclohexenone and ethyl acetoacetate.²⁷ The bromide **12** was readily prepared by treatment of the keto alcohol with PBr3 (Scheme **IV).** Bromide **12** reacted with silver tetrafluoroborate and allyltri-

SCHEME V

methylsilane to afford the expected olefinic ketone **13** plus the fluoro ketone **16** in almost equal amounts. This undesirable byproduct was easily avoided by reacting **12** with silver triflate and allyltrimethylsilane, providing ketone **13** in **90%** yield. Similarly, a variety of other nucleophiles reacted with **12** to generate useful products. The reactions are shown in Table 1. Interestingly, amines could be used as nucleophiles if the amine was added after the silver triflate was allowed to react with **12.** The reaction of **12** with the enol silyl ether of acetylcyclohexene afforded the tetracyclic compound **17** (Scheme IV). While **17** could have been produced via trapping of the bridgehead carbocation followed by an intramolecular Michael addition, it could also have arisen from an intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction with bridgehead enone **18.** The possibility that some of the product may be formed via the bridgehead enone pathway cannot be ruled out, particularly since the in situ generated enone **18** actually reacts rapidly with the enol silyl ether of acetylcyclohexene.

B. A Case Study: Synthesls of Lycopodine

With these results in hand, the alkaloid lycopodine was chosen **as** our first synthetic objective.28 Lycopodine, produced by the genus *Lycopodium,* is the prototype of a family of alkaloids that now contains over 100 members. Lycopodine had already been synthesized by several researchers. The pioneering synthetic work was done by Stork²⁹ and by Ayer.³⁰ Their research culminated in **total** synthesis in **1968.** Heathcock31 and co-workers achieved an elegant total synthesis in **1982.** $Schumann³²$ and co-workers also reported a clever synthesis of lycopodine from a bicyclic imine in **1982.** Finally, Wenkert and Broka³³ published an interesting total synthesis in 1984.

The retrosynthetic analysis of our route to lycopodine is shown in Scheme V. Keto alcohol **19,** an advanced intermediate in the Heathcock synthesis, will be produced from ketone **20,** which in turn will be produced from bicyclic ketone **21.** Bicyclic ketone **21** will be generated from enone 22 by the method of Saito.³⁴ Ketone **22** is readily available from 5-methylcyclohexenone by the method of Baraldi.³⁵

Hydroxy ketone **21** was produced by the reaction of **22** with ethyl acetoacetate in boiling methanol containing sodium methoxide. The crude product was decarbalkoxylated using aqueous KOH, to afford a mixture of two isomeric products in a **20:l** ratio. Since the allyl group was expected to epimerize to the equatorial position before the intramolecular aldol conden-

SCHEME VI

sation, the major product was assigned structure **21.** The reaction of **21** with borane-THF was highly chemoselective, affording a dihydroxy ketone. Selective benzenesulfonylation of the primary alcohol over the tertiary alcohol worked well. Benzenesulfonylation not only activated the alcohol for displacement but also rendered the oxygen atom much less nucleophilic. *This point was crucial to the success of our plan, since a carbocation was later to be generated only five carbon atoms away.* The reaction of the tertiary alcohol with phosphorus tribromide provided bromo ketone **20.**

The conversion of **20** into **19** was accomplished by two different bridgehead intermediates. The transformations are shown in Scheme VI. The bridgehead carbocation pathway was initiated by reacting **20** with silver triflate to generate the bridgehead triflate. The amine must be added after triflate formation in order to prevent formation of a silver-amine complex. Under optimal conditions, the yield of **23** was **96%** with only a trace of ether **24.** The benzyl ether was cleaved by hydrogenation to afford alcohol **19.**

The bridgehead enone pathway, inspired by House's classic studies, involved the reaction of **20** with **3** amino-l-propanol and DBU. This reaction produced alcohol **19** in quantitative yield. Ketone **19** was converted into racemic lycopodine in two steps using Heathcock's procedures. Our racemic lycopodine has a **13C** spectrum that is identical with that reported in the literature. **Our** total synthesis was effected in only nine steps and in **25%** yield from ketone **22.** The basic route is now being modified to allow the synthesis of more complex *Lycopodium* alkaloids.

C. A Case Study: An Approach to Colchicine

In addition to the construction of compounds that contain bridgehead subunits, the bridgehead pathway will also be useful for the synthesis of key bridged intermediates which may then be taken on to fused or spirocyclic ring systems. One application of this strategy is to the synthesis of colchicine. Colchicine exerts biologically significant effects on microtubule assembly. It has been synthesized by several researchers, most recently by Evans³⁶ and by Boger.³⁷ Banwell³⁸ has demonstrated that simple tropolones also exhibit colchicine-like effects on microtubules.

TABLE I1

Lewis acid
 27 + nucleophile $\frac{\text{Lewis acid}}{\text{Lavis acid}}$

Lewis acid	nucleophile	T. °C	% vield
TiCl.	$1.3 \cdot (OMe) {}_{2}C_{6}H_{4}$	0	45
BF_3 Et_2O	$1.3-(OMe)$ ₂ $CH4$	0	25
TiCl ₄	$1,3$ -(OMe) ₂ C_6H_4	-78	73
Ticl ₄	toluene	-78	60
TiCl,	$1.4 \cdot (OMe) {}_{2}C_{6}H_{4}$	-78	61
TiCl.	3,4,5- $(OMe)_3C_6H_2CHO$	-78	
TiCl,	3,4,5- $(OMe)_3C_6H_2CH_2CH_2CO_2Me$	-78	55

Our retrosynthetic analysis, which is illustrated in Scheme **VII,** has ether **26** as a key synthetic intermediate. This bridged ether might arise from ether **25** via a bridgehead carbocation reaction with an electron-rich aromatic ring. Before this route could be undertaken, the chemistry of 25 needed to be investigated.³⁹ Wiseman⁴⁰ had prepared the analogous ether 27 for his synthesis of bridgehead alkene **28.** He noted that **27** was a stable compound, probably because the oxonium ion **29** was destabilized by ring strain. We found that **27** reacted with 1,4-dimethoxybenzene at subambient temperatures in the presence of titanium tetrachloride. The results of our studies with **27** and various nucleophiles and Lewis acids are collated in Table **11.** Importantly, even highly substituted benzene rings participate in this reaction.

I V. Bridgehead Enones

A. Preliminary Studies on Bridgehead Enones

Although bridgehead alkenes in small-ring bicyclic systems are reactive, the corresponding bridgehead enones are so reactive that even isolation at low temperatures is not feasible. House and Trahanovsky⁴¹ have demonstrated that bridgehead enone **30** when

generated by a retro-Diels-Alder reaction using flash vacuum pyrolysis can be detected in solution at -78 °C. At higher temperatures, **30** dimerizes rapidly to a mixture of stereoisomers. Enone **30** also reacts rapidly with molecular oxygen. Calculations using Allinger's **MM2** program indicate that the double bond in the bridgehead enone is twisted from planarity by approximately **25".** This deformation greatly enhances the inherent electrophilicity of the enone subunit. **Good** nucleophiles such as alcohols and amines add rapidly at subambient temperatures. The Diels-Alder reaction, another fundamental reaction of enones, also proceeds well in the bridgehead series. This reaction had been briefly examined by House and by Magnus. We were the first to determine the regiochemistry and stereochemistry of the cycloaddition with unsymmetrical dienes.⁴²

A study of the scope and limitations of this reaction began with bromo ketones **31** and **32.** They were prepared **as** previously described. The bridgehead enones were formed in situ by reaction at 0 °C with triethylamine in the presence of **2** equiv of the diene. The

TABLE I11

results of our study are depicted in Table **111.** The facile addition of 1,1,3-trisubstituted dienes at 0 **"C** is very unusual. Unexpectedly, only a *single stereoisomer* was obtained. This reaction profile was also observed with 1,3-disubstituted dienes. In each case only the exo adduct was obtained. The determination of exo stereochemistry in the 1,3-disubstituted diene cases was based on the magnitude of the vicinal coupling constant for the methines α and β to the ketone. With the trisubstituted diene adducts, we had to apply **2D** NMR COSY and NOESY techniques. While the assignments are admittedly less certain, the products **also** appear to be exo adducts. With two very reactive dienes we obtained addition products rather than Diels-Alder adducts (Scheme VIII). The reaction of the bridgehead enone derived from **32** with methoxyfuran and diene **33** produced ketones **34** and **35,** respectively.

The reaction of bridgehead enones with dienes could proceed via a polarized transition state or even an ionic one. Because of the twist in the enone unit and certain nonbonded interactions (see structure A) between the diene and axial hydrogens, secondary orbital overlap is unlikely to play a role in determining the exo/endo

selectivity. In order to probe the possible ionic nature of the transition state, diene **36** was prepared. *The regiochemistry of Diels-Alder reactions of diene* **3643** *with simple cyclic ketones is known to be controlled by the methyl substituent at C-1.* We reasoned that the (trimethylsily1)oxy substituent at C-2 might direct the regiochemistry if the transition state was polarized. The reaction of diene **36** with the bridgehead enone derived from **32** afforded only adduct **37** (Scheme **IX).** Enone formation by the method of Saegusa 44 generated enone **38.** The olefinic pattern in **38** was an AB quartet of doublets. Decoupling experiments further established the structure of **38.** Clearly, the (trimethylsily1)oxy substituent controlled the regiochemistry of addition. We next prepared diene **39** with the rationale that an ionic transition state could lead to the opening of the cyclopropane ring via a cyclopropyl carbinyl carbocation. Although the yield of adduct **40** was modest, no products due to cyclopropane opening were observed.

The use of the Diels-Alder adducts for the synthesis of terpene anticancer agents is in progress.45 Adduct **41** reacts efficiently with palladium acetate and benzoquinone to afford enone **42.** Unfortunately, enone **42** does not react with Me₂CuLi, heterocuprates, or higher order cuprates. As shown in Scheme X, it does react with trimethylaluminum and trimethylsilyl cyanide to afford nitrile **43.** The stereochemistry of **43** is based on the structure of **44.**

Bridgehead enones also react effectively with cuprates.46 This reaction permits the introduction of a simple alkyl group into a bridgehead position. The crucial part of this transformation is the base that must be used to make the bridgehead enone. Scheme XI shows our results with a variety of cuprates.

6. A Case Study: Synthesis of a Taxane Subunit

Because of their high reactivity, bridgehead enones also react with electron-rich alkenes. 47 The product of this reaction, a cyclobutyl ketone, is extremely sensitive to acid. We examined a range of electron-rich alkenes, including ethyl vinyl ether, vinyl acetate, the pyrrolidine enamine of cyclohexanone **(46),** 1-((trimethylsily1) oxy)-1-ethoxyethylene **(47),** the TBS enol silyl ether of acetaldehyde, and 1,l-dimethoxyethylene **(48).** Both the enamine and vinyl acetate afforded complex mixtures of products. Ethyl vinyl ether and the enol silyl ether or acetaldehyde did not react. Unexpectedly, the reaction of **47** with the bridgehead enone provided ether **49.** The reaction of **48** with the bridgehead enone at 0 **OC** afforded cyclobutane **50** in almost quantitative yield. On silica gel chromatography, cyclobutane *50* was quantitatively cleaved to form methyl ester **51** (Scheme

The fragmentation of 50 to give 52 was next examined. This reaction is significant in that the bicyclo- [5.3.l]undecene moiety is a significant subunit in the

'e

SCHEME XI

taxane family of terpenes. Several reaction conditions were examined to determine the optimal conditions for the fragmentation. While most of these conditions produced some ketone **52,** the major product was ketone **53.** For example, $Li-C_{10}H_8$ produced only ketone **53** in **73%** yield. Our best conditions for the fragmentation reaction involved the use of lithium in liquid ammonia. Ketone **52** was generated in 51% isolated yield with only a 10% yield of **53.** Interestingly, the bridgehead alkene in **52** is not affected by the reduction conditions. The strain introduced by the cyclobutane ring is a necessary requirement for fragmentation. If a cyclohexene ring is present instead of a cyclobutane (com-

SCHEME XI1

pound **54),** fission of the C-S bond occurs, but fragmentation does not occur.

The hydrolysis of **52** with pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) in aqueous acetone afforded diketone **55.** This diketone is not enolic, nor does it appear to be very acidic. This is in stark contrast to the behavior of 1,3-diketones in six- and seven-membered rings. Molecular models indicate that the two carbonyls are at an angle of approximately **80'. A** model of **55 as** an enolic diketone is extremely strained, perhaps explaining the low kinetic acidity of **55.** Only complex mixtures have resulted from attempted alkylation with MeI.

C. A Case Study: Synthesis of Diterpene Alkaloid Subunits

The extension of the bridgehead pathway to more complex natural products is also under way. **A** disconnection that simplifies the synthesis of diterpene alkaloid **56** is illustrated in Scheme XIII. The retrosynthetic analysis could proceed by either of two bridgehead disconnections. The azabicyclo[3.3.l]nonanone 57 $(X = CO₂Me)$ has already been constructed. The bicyclo[3.2.l]octane unit **58** can be derived from the known keto ester **59.48** We expect to connect units **57** and **58** via the reactive bridgehead enone **60.**

Bicyclic building blocks **57** and **58** are common subunits in several terpene and alkaloid structures such as **61** and **62.** Our long-term goal is to assemble these complex compounds from performed chiral nonracemic bicyclic units.

V. Summary

Bridgehead connectivity has the potential for achieving flexible and convergent synthetic pathways. Now that a broad picture of bridgehead reactivity ex**SCHEME XIII**

ists, increased applications in organic synthesis can be anticipated.

References

- Troat, B. M.; Lee, D. C. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,110,7255** and references therein.
- Echavarren, A. M.; Tueting, D. R.; Stille, J. K. *J. Am. Chem.* (2) $Soc. 1988, 110, 4039.$
- Hegedus, L. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988, 27, 1113.
Larock, R. C.; Stinn, D. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4687.
Negishi, E.; Luo, F. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 3903.
Abelman, M. M.; Overman, L. E. J. *A*
- (4)
- (5)
- (6) 2328.
Keck, G. E.; Yates, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1**982**, 104, 5829.
Stork, G. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 107.
Hart, D. H. Science 1984, 223, 883. (7)
-
- (ጸ)
- (9)
- (10)
- **1988, 2011,** *2004, 2004, 2004, 2004, 2004, 2014, 2014, 2014, 2014, 2014, 2014, 2016* (11)
- Beckwith,, A. L. J. *Tetrahedron* **1981,37, 3073.** (12)
- Walraff, G. M.; Vogel, **E.;** Michl, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988, 53,** (13) **5807.**
- (14) Bingham, R. C.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1971, 93, 3189 **3189.** Warner. **P.:** Lu. S. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1976.98.6752.**
- (15)
- (16) Fort, R. C., Jr. *Carbonium Ions*; Olah, G. A., Schleyer, P. v. R.,
Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1973; Vol. IV, Chapter 32.
Wiseman, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1967, 89, 5966.
Dauben, W. G.; Robbins, J. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 197
- (17)
- (18)
- (19) Marshall, **J.** A.; Lewellyn, M. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1977,99,3508.** Warner, **P.** M. *Chem. Reu.* **1989,89, 1067.**
- (20)
- House, H. **0.;** Outcalt, R. J.; Haack, J. L.; VanDerveer, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,48,1654** and references therein. Magnus, P.; Gallagher, T.; Brown, P.; Huffman, J. C. *J. Am.* (21)
- (22)
- *Chem. SOC.* **1984,106,2105.** Shea. K. J.: Gilman. J. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983. 24. 657.** (23)
- (24)
- (25)
- Bestmann, H. J.; Schade, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3543.
Kraus, G. A.; Hon, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 4605.
Apeloig, Y.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977,
42, 3004. (26)
- (27) Heumann, **A.** *S nthesis* **1979, 53.**
- Kraus, G. A.; Hon, Y.-S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, 107, 4341. (28) Kraus, G. **A.;** Hon, Y.4. *Heterocycles* **1987,25,377.** Stork, G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1968,17, 383.**
- (29)
- **A** er, W. A.; Bowman, W. R.; Joseph, T. C.; Smith, P. *J. Am. Clem. SOC.* **1968,90, 1648.** (30)
- Heathcock, **C.** H.; Kleinman, E. F.; Binkley, E. S. *J. Am.* (31) *Chem.* **SOC. 1982.104. 1054.** (32)
- Schumann, **D.;** -Muller, H. J.; Naumann, A. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1982, 1700.** (33) Wenkert, E.; Broka, C. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*
- **1984, 714.**
- Saito, S.; Yabuki, T.; Moriwake, T.; Okamoto, K. *Bull. Chem.*
Soc. Jpn. 1978, 51, 529.
Baraldi, P. G.; Barco, A.; Benetti, S.; Pollini, G. P.; Zanirato,
Baraldi, P. G.; Barco, A.; Benetti, S.; 4291.
V. *Tetrahedron Lett*
- **103,5813.**
-
- roo, Coro.
Boger, D. L.; Brotherton, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3427.
Banwell, M. G.; Herbert, K. A.; Buckleton, J. R.; Clark, G. R.;
Rickard, C. E. F.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. J. Org. Chem. 1988, (38) **53, 4945.**
- Kraus, G. A.; Hanson, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.,* submitted. (39)
- **Quinn,** C. B.; Wiseman, J. R. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1973,95,1342.** Campbell, K. A.; House, H. 0.; Surber, B. W.; Trahanovsky, W. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987,** *52,* **2474.**
- Kraus, G. **A.;** Hon, **Y.-S.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1986, 51, 116.**

1598 **Chemlcal Revlews, 1989, Vol. 89, No. 7**

Kraus et at.

- (43) Danishefsky, S.; Zamboni, R. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1980, 3439.
(44) Ito, Y.; Hirao, T.; Saegusa, T. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1011.
(45) Kraus, G. A.; Laramay, S., in preparation.
(46) Kraus, G. A.; Yi, P. *Synth. Commun.*
-
-
- **(47) Kraus, G. A.; Thomas,** P. **J.; Hon,** Y.4. *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* **1987, 1849.**
- **(48)** Marinovic, M. **V.; Ramanathan, H.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983,24, 1871.**